

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO	
10/048,106	06/11/2002	Bernard Dron	15219 1544		
759	90 10/09/2003		EXAMINER		
Scully Scott Murphy & Presser 400 Garden City Plaza			TRAN, KHOA H		
Garden City, N			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			3634		
			DATE MAILED: 10/09/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Applicati	n N	Applicant(s)					
· Office Action Summary	10/048,10	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	DRON, BERNARD Art Unit					
cco / louisin summary	Examiner	:	3634	1				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Khoa Tran			?SS				
Period for Reply								
 A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 111	<u>lune 2002</u> .							
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	is action is i	non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>6-8</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing (a) filed on 11 /une 2003 is/are: a) Conserted or by the Examiner.								
10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>11 June 2002</u> is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3			(PTO-413) Paper No(s). Patent Application (PTO-1					

Application/Control Number: 10/048,106

Art Unit: 3634

Specification

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or

REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)

- (e) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/048,106

Art Unit: 3634

(2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.

- (f) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (g) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (h) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (j) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

Claim Objections

Claims 6-8 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, claims 6-8 are not further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/048,106

Art Unit: 3634

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. With respect to claim 1, the numerous recitation of "or" render the claim indefinite because it is unclear which one to the two nonequivalent alternatives the applicant is requiring. Further, the claim fails to positively recite the claimed element that is structurally connected to the first section, see line 20. It should be noted that "may or may not" fails to define any relationship or requirement. In particular, if it doesn't matter if the third section piece abuts any other piece, then why is anything on this being recited? With respect to lines 8-9, there is no antecedent basis for "the base" and "the branches".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3, and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gatzmanga in view of Keys et al. and Lee et al. Gatzmanga discloses a seal strip member for a door comprising a U-shaped first section piece (10) defining a base, a deformable and flexible second section piece (12) having a wall portion that housed a third section piece defining a tubular member therein (30 and 32), the tubular members of the third section piece with the second section piece are formed by coextrusion with

Application/Control Number: 10/048,106

Art Unit: 3634

the first section piece, see column 2, lines 28-31. See Figure 3. Gatzmanga does not teach the tubular member having a source of fluid therein and the second section piece does not have an air hole. However, Keys et al. teach a tubular member (30) having fluid therein to expand the wall portion of the seal member (10) to take up gap between the flange and the door. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the tubular member of Gatzmanga with fluid as taught by Keys et al. in order to expand the wall portion of the seal member to take up gap between the flange and the door for providing a tight seal between the flange and the door. Lee et al. teach a second section piece having an air hole (46) that communicates with an interior enclosed space and outside space. See Figure 1. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the second section piece of Gatzmanga with an air hole as taught by Lee et al. in order to decompress the air inside the second section piece to prevent the second section piece from cracking due to bending stress when the door strikes the seal member. With respect to claim 2, since there is no significant important to the invention where the third section piece is located on the seal member, it would have been an obvious matter of choice of design at the time the invention to position the third section piece not to contact with the second section piece without producing any unexpected results.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Gatzmanga in view of Keys et al. and Lee et al. as applied to claims 1-4 above, and

further in view of Bright. Bright teaches a third section piece manufactured

independently then inserted into the second section piece. See Figure 2. It would have

Page 6 Application/Control Number: 10/048,106

Art Unit: 3634

been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to provide a second piece of Gatzmanga with a third section piece that is independently formed then inserted into the second section piece as taught Bright in order to able to replace the third section piece when it's worn out instead of replacing the whole seal strip to reduce cost in replacing the seal strip member.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Bocchinfuso, Dupuy et al., King, E. T. Herman, Van Den Oord, Mesnel et al., Guillon, Gallas, Karashima et al., Furuse, Thomas, W. C. Frehse, and J. G. Clark et al., are cited to show devices having similar configurations of design.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khoa Tran whose telephone number is (703) 306-3437. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 9:30 A.M. to 7:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola, can be reached on (703) 308-2686. The fax phone number for this Group before a final Office action is (703) 872-9326 and after a final Office action is (703) 872-9327.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168. Janiel P Stodola

Khoa Tran

September 29, 2003

DANIEL P. STODOLA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600